

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

		STATES OF	Washing	ton, D.C. 20231	ī
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/434,985	11/05/99	JITARU		1 16	75B.1A.1
_		MMC2/0825	\neg	EXAMINER	
MARK E OGRAM P C		PHILE/ UOZO		MAI,A	
8040 S KOLB ROAD TUCSON AZ 85106				· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TOCOUN ME GO	, T ,7,47,			2832	
				DATE MAILED:	08/25/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

09/434,985

Anh Mai

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit 2832

Jitaru

-Office Action Summary

☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•		
☐ This action is FINAL.			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for fo in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to exist longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the		
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
	is/are objected to.		
☐ Claims			
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Residue of The drawing(s) filed on	to by the Examiner. isapproveddisapproved. der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). de priority documents have been er) dernational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	•		

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Application/Control Number: 09/434,985

Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is to replace the previous office action mailed on June 29, 2000. In this action, additional claims in the pre-amendments filed on January 27, 2000 and May 30, 2000 have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 7-8 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With respect to the claims, what does applicant intend by an "open loop"? Open loop of what? An open loop circuit? Is it shown in the drawing?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/434,985

Art Unit: 2832

2. Claims 1-5, 10-12, 14-18, 20, 22-25 and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Godek et al. [US 5321380] in view of Haertling et al. [US 5532667].

Godek discloses:

- a printed circuit board of dielectric sheet 10;
- a first transformer having first core 82 extending through dielectric sheet and a first set of electrically conductive windings 50;
- a second transformer having a second core 42 extending through dielectric sheet and a second set of electrically conductive windings 32;
 - conductive trace 22 communicating with first set of conductive winding [figure 4];
 - first and second sets of conductive windings are electrically encapsulated [figure 5].

Godek discloses the instant claimed invention except for the circuit board having multilayer of electric sheets. Haertling discloses a multi-layer transformer wherein the conductive
element 256b are in between two adjoining layers 254, 256 of dielectric sheets [figure 12]. It
would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have multi-layer of dielectric
sheets as taught by Haertling to Godek. The motivation would have been to reduce the creepage
distance inside the transformer. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Haertling with
Godek.

With respect to claims 2-3, Haertling discloses a magnetic shield for outer conductive pattern to protect the element from external magnetic fields [col 5, lines 54-59 and figures 5-6]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Haertling with Godek.

Art Unit: 2832

3. Claims 21 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Godek et al. in view of Haertling et al. as applied to claims 20 and 24 above, and further in view of Cassese et al. [US 5949191].

Godeck and Haertling disclose the instant claimed invention except for a heat sink connected to multilayer circuit board. Casese discloses a heat sink 1 as base layer of the circuit layer 2 [figure 1]. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have a heat sink as taught by Haertling to Godek. The motivation would have been to cool the planar circuit. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Casese with Godek in view of Haertling.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 6, 13 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record does not teach a first set of conductive winding including "quiet winding" and second set of conductive winding being positioned proximate to the "quiet winding".

The prior art of record does not teach a third core extending through layers of dielectric sheets; a third set of electrically conductive winding, at least one of the windings of the third set of electrically conductive winding contained between two joining layers of dielectric sheets.

Application/Control Number: 09/434,985

Page 5

Art Unit: 2832

5. Claims 7-9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record does not teach a secondary set of winding positioned to have

electrical flow induced therein by the first core and further including an open loop positioned to

inject a current through parasitic capacitance in the secondary windings.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 6.

disclosure. Levin [US 6073339], Rodriguez et al. [US 4622627].

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Anh Mai whose telephone number is (703) 308-2900.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Technology Center 2800 receptionist whose telephone number is (703)

308-0956. The fax phone numbers for this Technology Center are (703) 305-3431/3432.

Anh Mai

Patent Examiner, AU 2832

hman_

August 21, 2000